

POLICY COMMITTEE  
MINUTES  
AUGUST 30, 1990  
GREENVILLE, NC

CALL TO ORDER - RAY CUNNINGHAM

Secretary William Cobey, Co-chairman of the Policy Committee (PC), called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. Attendees list Attachment A.

Secretary Cobey expressed appreciation to Dr. Bill Queen (and Mrs. Queen) for hosting a social prior to the roundtable meeting on the evening of August 29. Secretary Cobey welcomed Mr. Ray Cunningham, Director of the EPA Region IV Water Management Division, as Co-chairman of the PC.

CONSIDERATION OF JUNE 13, 1990 MINUTES

A motion to adopt the June 13 PC minutes was made by Dr. John Costlow and seconded by Dr. Queen. The minutes were unanimously approved.

PROGRAM REPORTS

Mr. Ted Bisterfeld briefly summarized the status of program activities since the June 13 PC meeting (Attachment B).

Mrs. Joan Giordano also summarized public involvement activities since the June 13 meeting (Attachment C).

WORKSHOP ON PREDICTIVE USES OF GIS

Dr. Costlow reported that as a result of the interest expressed at the June 13 PC meeting regarding the potential use of the GIS system by counties, he and Karen Siderelis of CGIA, have discussed holding two workshops in 1991 for interested officials using Carteret County to demonstrate what effect predicted changes over 10 years could have on Carteret County.

Ms. Siderelis proposed incorporating this task into the scope of the data management activities for the Study. There was some concern as to what might be omitted from current data management activities if this were added. The PC instructed Mr. Bisterfeld to discuss this with Ms Siderelis and to look for "extra" dollars in the Study's budget to fund such a demonstration workshop.

## TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Ernie Carl restated the stages of development of the Preliminary STR, Technical and Public Version documents. The PC had instructed the TC to develop conclusions to become a part of the public review process. The TC discussed this topic at great length during its August 21 meeting. Discussion resulted in three TC members preparing a draft synopsis of the TC's "Findings on the Status and Trends of the Estuary." The draft was distributed at the roundtable meeting on August 29.

The PC discussed the review process that should be utilized before a final draft is presented for consideration. It was recommended that all PC/TC/CAC members be sent the synopsis for review. The CAC Chairmen will determine if they wish to convene special meetings to discuss the draft. Comments are to be sent to the Program Office within 15 days. Then the original three TC members who drafted the synopsis and three PC members will consider the comments and develop the final draft "Findings on the Status and Trends of the Estuary" which will be presented to the PC Co-chairmen. It will be the responsibility of the PC Co-chairmen to decide whether or not to go with the final draft to public meetings. The public meetings are to be held as soon as the PC Co-chairmen approve the "Findings on the Status and Trends of the Estuary."

## IMPLEMENTATION FINANCING

Mr. Bo Crum reported that OMEP has contracted with a firm to provide assistance to interested NEPs in the development of program financial planning for the implementation phase. The TC agreed to take advantage of this support. The contractor is to (1) help develop a financial planning committee, (2) develop an inventory of various funding sources, and (3) plan a one-day seminar of experts in various fields. All this can be accomplished during the next fiscal year. The contractor is to make a presentation at the next TC meeting.

## ENVIRONMENTAL GOALS

Mr. Crum reported that there are two sets of environmental goals: (1) contained in "A Blueprint For Action" (produced by the CACs with assistance from the North Carolina Coastal Federation) and (2) environmental goals developed by staff & reviewed by the Technical Committee. Mr. Crum asked for guidance from the Policy Committee (PC) on how to proceed in developing final environmental goals. He suggested taking input from the "Blueprint For Action" along with the TC's environmental goals and incorporate the two into a draft to be submitted to the PC. There was discussion regarding the two versions and how they would be merged, the format to be used, and publication, but specific direction was unclear. It was decided that the "Blueprint For Action" will be reviewed by the Publications Review Subcommittee and others and will be published by the Study but that careful consideration will be given to the title of the document to avoid confusing the public as to its function.

### ALBEMARLE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (ACAC)

Mr. Brewster Brown reported that the ACAC is at full capacity and that participation has been good. He also presented a resolution adopted by the ACAC pertaining to the CCMP (Attachment D). The resolution was sent to the PCAC. It was distributed to the PC for information.

### PAMLICO CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT (PCAC)

Mr. Derb Carter recommended six individuals to fill the seven PCAC vacancies. (1) Waylon Sermons attorney in Washington also town attorney in several small towns including Bath and Grimesland. (2) Roger Lyons is a forester with Weyerhaeuser Co. Director of their forestry operations (3) Bill Barker is an attorney from Oriental and State Senator representing Craven and Carteret County. (4) Lee Brothers is a marine biologist from Aurora and is involved in some aqua-farming ventures. (5) Beth Burns is a fisheries biologist from Manteo and (6) Dan Wenley is formerly with the soil conservation service is now soil and water supervisor in Beaufort County. Mr. Carter noted two main criteria utilized in making selections: (1) a geographic representation for the PCAC area and (2) to ensure that all interests are represented proportional to their presence in the region. Mr. Carter made a motion that the six recommendations be accepted to fill the vacancies on the PCAC. Ms. Mike Gantt seconded the motion which was unanimously approved.

Mr. Carter reported on the plans to participate in the NEP/CAC workshop scheduled for November 7-10 in Beaufort. He had requested that the CAC members who are not officially invited have the opportunity to attend some or all of the sessions. Mrs. Giordano reported that Ms. Fran Flanigan (the organizer) approved two additional A/P Study people (total of 7). The identification of those two people will be a function of the A/P staff. It was recommended that the CAC Chairmen attend this workshop. Secretary Cobey recommended the program staff consult with the CAC Chairmen on the identification of participants for the workshop.

### ANNUAL MEETING

Mrs. Giordano reported that the annual meeting is scheduled for October 6, 1990 at the Crystal Coast Civic Center in Morehead City. The NC Coastal Federation is organizing the Annual Meeting. More information is to be distributed. Also the Annual Researchers' Review Workshop is to be held at the Maritime Museum in Beaufort on October 5. Mr. Cunningham said that due to the Federal budget stalemate, a freeze on travel will likely prohibit EPA staff from attending any of these meetings.

(A/AUG90MIN.DOC)

UPDATE OF MEETING SCHEDULE-TED BISTERFELD

Revisions to the meeting schedule include (1) Annual Meeting rescheduled for October 6, 1990; (2) Researcher's Review Workshop rescheduled for October 5, 1990; (3) NEP/CAC Workshop added on November 7-10, 1990. The 1991 schedule has been previously circulated.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Brown asked for a copy of the Administrative Guidelines adopted by the Study. He felt these would be useful in avoiding problems (i.e., conflict of interest) during the upcoming CFP process.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

ATTACHMENT A

POLICY COMMITTEE ATTENDEES LIST

AUGUST 30, 1990

GREENVILLE, N.C.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>AFFILIATION</u>
Karon Donnelly	A/P Study
Kathy Norris	A/P Study
Derb Carter	PCAC
Bud Cross	NOAA/NMFS
Bill Queen	ECU
Don Bryan	Town of Nags Head
Ted Bisterfeld	US EPA, Region 4
Bill Cobey	NC Dept. of EHNR
Ray Cunningham	EPA, R 4
Joan Giordano	A/P Study
Brewster Brown	ACAC
Mike Gantt	FWS
John D. Costlow	DUML-Beaufort
Bo Crum	EPA, R4
Ernie Carl	EHNR
Lorraine Shinn	EHNR
Mike Orbach	Marine Science Council
Ann DeWitt Brooks	VA/Council on Environment
John M. Carlock	Hampton Roads PDC
Robert B. Howard	EPA, Region IV
Liz Biro	Daily News, Jacksonville
Tom Stroud	PTRF/APES
Marcia Stutts	Virginian-Pilot
George Everete	Division of Env. Mgmt.
Mitchell Norman	VA. Dept. Game & Fish.
Mike Gwynn	TG
Wayne Powell	TG
Tom Quay	PCAC
Stephanie Sanzone	EPA Office of Marine & Est. Protection
Bill Clifford	NCSU
Tom Hoban	NCSU
Jim Turner	USGS
Larry Saunders	Corps of Engineers

PROGRAM DIRECTOR'S/PROJECT OFFICER'S REPORT

AUGUST 3, 1990

1) Priority Action Plan Demonstration Projects

- a) A new project has been selected and approved for funding this year. EPA-OMEP will provide supplemental funds for a marsh grass protection shoreline erosion control demonstration project, proposed by Spencer Rogers, UNC Sea Grant Program. Five sites are planned for the two-year project.
- b) The Winslow farm was visited by this year's press tour and by the Policy Committee. Solid set applicators for effluent from these swine waste lagoons have been installed as part of the Merchant's Millpond (Upper Bennett's Creek) project.
- c) Construction has not begun on the Greenville stormwater control facility due to delays in securing the site by the city.

2) Geographic Information Projects

- a) CGIA's presentation to the Policy Committee in June resulted in a request to make a similar presentation in Carteret County. The analyses are part of Walter Clark's project to develop a water use plan component for this county's comprehensive land use planning.
- b) Bob Holman is volunteering time to oversee progress on the CGIA/NCSU land use and land cover classification project funded by the A/P Study. The Raleigh and Currituck Sound areas are the first to undergo comparison of the 1972 and 1988 remotely sensed imagery. These areas are expected to be completed soon.

3) FY 90 Work Plan Revisions

- a) New action plan demonstration project funding resulted in revision of the Work Plan, which was sent to EPA-Washington. EPA-OMEP provided 100% funding.
- b) The Citizens' Monitoring Program will be managed by ECU beginning this fall. This also is a revision to the Work Plan.

c) Funding packages for cooperative agreements to carry out the Work Plan for FY 90 were provided to the EPA Region IV Grants Administration Unit by August 1. Awards are anticipated from August 15 through September 15.

4) Implementation Financing

a) EPA has contractor support to help the A/P Study initiate planning for financing action plans. A guidebook is being distributed to all committees and a seminar will be scheduled soon.

5) Environmental Goals

a) In accordance with National Estuary Program guidelines, environmental goals have been drafted for each identified environmental concern. All committees should review these goals which will become components of the CCMP when they are approved.

6) Action Plans

The CACs, with assistance from the N.C. Coastal Federation, are nearing completion of a final proposed list of action items for inclusion in the CCMP. A presentation is planned for the August 29 Roundtable Meeting.

7) Personnel Actions

a) A resolution by the Policy Committee recognizing Dr. Holman's two-year period of excellent service has been drafted for Committee action.

b) Another loss to the A/P Study has been announced in Atlanta. Mr. Lee DeHihns will be leaving the EPA to join an Atlanta law firm, Alston and Bird. A replacement has not been named.

8) Reports

a) Updated Publications List Available

9) Federal Agency FY 1992 Budget Initiative

The EPA, CORPS, NOAA, and USFWS in Washington are discussing potential projects to undertake in NEP study areas. A/P is on a list to do investigations and implement pilot studies. Currituck is the area of greatest interest.

10) Meetings

The Galveston Bay Program hosted a conference of NEP study directors from around the country. The A/P Study was represented by Ted Bisterfeld

11) Work Plan

The director of EPA, OMEP reviewed the A/P Study FY 1990 Work Plan and provided comments which were generally favorable.

A: (PROJOFF.RPT)

Public Involvement Coordinator's Report  
 Policy Committee  
 August 30, 1990

1. Citizens' Advisory Committees (CACs)
  - Continue to meet quarterly
  - Meeting notices sent to public officials, interested citizens and newspapers in meeting area
  - Vacancies exist: P-CAC (7) nominations have been received and will be presented to Policy Committee Aug. 30
  - Committee members continue to share APES information with community, civic and educational organizations
  
2. Exhibits
  - State Fair Exhibit (Nursery Area Model) was used at:
    - \* Environmental Awareness Field Days sponsored by the Assoc. of Soil & Water Conservation Districts - Northampton, Gates, Camden and Martin Counties - (400 students) on April 24, 25 & 26
    - \* W.H. Robinson (700 students) & South Greenville School (520 students) in Greenville - 5/11 & 5/18
  - Exhibits are available for use in study area at any time
  
3. Outreach
  - Educational Presentations:
    - \* Chowan County High School - May 18
    - \* W.H. Robinson School - Pitt Co. - May 11
    - \* South Greenville School - Pitt Co. - May 18
    - \* Gatesville Women's Group - May 1
    - \* Washington Co. Middle School - Roper - May 10
    - \* Riverside Readers Book Club - Washington - May 3
    - \* Snug Harbor Ext. Group - May 11
    - \* Arrowhead Beach 4-H Group - May 19
    - \* Weeksville Middle School - Pasquotank Co. - May 9
    - \* Edgecombe-Tar River Assoc. - Tarboro - May 9
    - \* Chocowinity Sr. Citizens - May 8
    - \* Eastern Elementary - Washington - May 10
    - \* Belvoir Elementary - Pitt County - May 8
    - \* Pine Knoll Shores Aquarium - June 11, July 27 & August 17
    - \* Manteo Aquarium - June 27, July 20 & August 13
    - \* First Methodist Church Youth Group - July 31
    - \* Fairfield Harbor - August 9
  - Local Government Liaison
    - \* Belhaven Town Council
    - \* Jones County Commissioners - May 21
    - \* Beaufort Town Council - July 9
    - \* Swansboro Town Council - July 12
    - \* Carteret Co. Commissioners - August 6

- \* Bayboro Town Council - August 7
- \* Oriental Town Council - August 7

#### 4. Projects

##### - Print

- \* Poster series/bumper stickers - 4800 of 5000 distributed
- \* Calendar - 4900 of 5000 distributed
- \* "Guide to Estuaries" - 500 distributed - Reprints available (\$1.00 each)
- \* "Where the Rivers Meet the Sea" - 600 distributed to schools in APES area; Reprints available (\$3.00 each)
- \* Status & Trends (public version) - being revised to include conclusions

##### - Electronic

- \* Video PSAs - being utilized on WRAL-TV
- \* Video/slide show - video is being shortened to 20 minutes for use at meetings requiring an abbreviated program
- \* Radio Talk Show - 3rd (Waste Treatment) of 6 scripts completed and being approved; will air in August - Subsequent topics include Human Environment, Water Quality, and Public Participation

##### - Public Meetings

- \* Workshops on Water Quality - done by NCSU Ag. Ext. March 6,7,14 & 15 - Report on proceedings late August, early September
- \* Forum on Management Needs for Protecting Estuarine resources in A/P System - draft write-up on proceedings by end of August
- \* S.E. Va. Planning Commission (Now Hampton Roads Planning District Commission) has completed the HRPD-APES Bibliography satisfying another of the tasks outlined in their proposal
- \* Assisting CACs with Estuarine Management Recommendations (NCCF) - Third and Final Workshop held in Williamston June 5
- \* Press Tour (NCCF) - June 6-8 (in Albemarle region) was a well planned effort but sparsely attended

All 3rd cycle projects are completed or nearing completion.

#### 5. Other Meetings & Events

- Status & Trends Public Meetings (6) across state rescheduled due to revision of S & T Document (Public Version) will probably occur in late fall
- State Fair - Oct 12-21, 1990 - Dept. theme is Waste Management and Recycling - Planning continues
- Semi-Annual Roundtable Meeting scheduled for August 29 in Greenville will be followed by Policy Committee

meeting August 30th in the am.

- Third APES Annual Meeting will be held on October 6th in Carteret Co. and will be preceded by the Third Annual Researchers' Review on October 5th in Beaufort at the Maritime Museum.
- OMEP/EPA Citizens' Advisory Committee Workshop November 7-10 in Beaufort to discuss the role of CACs in developing CCMPs

6. Newsletter

- 3rd quarter edition due September
- Mailing list is constantly updated - 17,200+
- Responses are very favorable

7. Inquiries to Program

- Receive almost daily response to newsletter, T.V. and exhibits from educators, press, students and business
- Inquiry log is kept, average response time is 2-3 days
- Requests for publications are very popular

Resolution

WHEREAS, the Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine Study (A/P Study) is a joint effort between State and Federal Governments and interested citizens of the State of North Carolina; and

WHEREAS, the Citizens' Advisory Committees (CACs) are comprised of interested citizens; and are charged with helping to produce an effective Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan (CCMP) and developing a strong consensus of public support; and to reaffirm the health and purpose of the A/P Study

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That previous recommendations for the establishment of a Legislative Liaison Committee be implemented immediately to act as a liaison between all A/P Study Committees and the State Legislature.
2. That recommendations for the CCMP be implemented on a sub-basin watershed basis listing separately suspected problems along with projected solutions and the agencies responsible for resolving these problems.
3. That the CCMP shall include a Citizen Oversight Committee empowered with the ability to review and monitor actions of the appropriately responsible state agencies.
4. That the CCMP shall be written in clear, concise language that is readily understood by the general public.
5. That a half-time or full-time (as needed) individual be hired to work closely with the CACs in the writing and editing of the CCMP.

Adopted this 30th day of August, 1990.

Director, Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine Study

Co-Chair, Policy Committee

Co-Chair, Policy Committee

## ENVIRONMENTAL GOALS

Taken from tape:

Next agenda item is the environmental goals for the CCMP. We recognize them as very important components of the CCMP, very carefully composed and understandable statements of environmental quality goals for each individual concerns. Based on goals, objectives would be developed as the next step and then from those goals and objectives the development of individual action plans. We have a draft of those goals which was discussed as the technical committee meeting last week and generated quite a bit of discussion. You also heard last night that the CAC have developed a similar set of goals and objectives and actions also. Today we probably need to get a little direction from you as to where we go from here. It would be my suggestion that we take the input from the CACs, develop a final set of goals and possibilities objectives. I am personally of the opinion that we should, that some off the goals on this particular draft set of goals would maybe be better called objectives. But it needs some more work but we are on track to get there but I'd like to get more guidance or direction from you as input into that process. My suggestion is that we take what the CAC has developed for us and come up with another version based on the discussion and input that we got from the TC at its last meeting and submit it at the next PC meeting. In the meantime any input from any of you would be welcome.

Brown: Would the new version be a policy version or all encompassing version. I'm not sure what the new version would be.

Bo: It would incorporate all the comments that we've had from all possible sources. Citizens as well as policy committee. Just a second draft.

Brown: I'm still confused. What is the CAC version. What does that mean in relation to it. Are you looking to that as the final product then. Or

Bo: Just as an input. Their input to the process so that when we come up with a final set of goals and objectives that they truly represent the management conference as a whole.

Gantt: If I understand you correctly you will simply fold the CAC goals and objectives into those goals and objectives that have been developed already by the TC?

Bo: Consider them as input. It may involve folding in.

Gantt: Does that mean changing.. well where I'm coming from is from day one we have really, think all agree, that this really was a program that would affect the people of NC and that they need to have a very strong voice in the development of this program and ultimately in implementing it. So are you suggesting that what they are providing us would be changed radically?

Orbach: The two list are very similar.

Brown: Could the CACs get a copy of it. Is it typed up and pretty clean order so we could look at it and compare it with what we have and what they have?

Bo: Yes,

Ted: We sent the draft list of the TC to the PC and TC members months ago. Now, I don't believe we sent it to all the CAC members. But obviously hopefully the chairs bring those things before their CACs so maybe that has been done.

Bo: Their input into the document they have produced not yet released, but

Ted: What I originated got in there.

Bo: They are so similar its incredible. I think we see a lot of agreement.

Brown: I guess I am trying to understand. Which would get distribution would all get distribution? or the final document that is folded together to use your words or what ever, the one that would go out to the public?

Bo: I think we as a TC and particularly the staff have to actually do the putting together and we will do that but we will use the input from the document produced by the CACs. Then it will be out fro review.

Brown: Does that mean that the CAC document would also be distributed and then that document, and then that document (3 different document). How are we going to do what we are going to do?

Bo: The CAC document is a little more than a set of goals and objectives. It includes a great deal of information including recommended action plans, now and later on. So I'm unclear as to how that document should be treated and handled as far as publication and distribution is concerned. It's probably up to this committee to decide that. If it is to be published and distributed as representing the viewpoint of the management conference as a whole, I'm not sure what their intention is?

Brown: That document being developed so of along the lines of the EPA guide. Is the TC's used that as a base?

Bo: We don't have it yet, We have a couple of copies in draft form it has not been submitted to us by the CACs as a final or final draft so that we can do that yet.

Cobey: He was asking did you use the same guide?

publications committee, then I would assume it would put in some kind of published form. Now with Mike said whether it not it would be a freestanding document, I think it ends up being a free standing document when it goes to that publications committee, right?

Ernie: I wasn't quite sure what he was getting at. The day that it is delivered to a public official of any sort, anyone that works for the government, it becomes a public document.

Cobey: It is a freestanding document.

Ernie: It its draft form as well as final form.

Brewster: And it is going to be published as a regular old APES study document just like the other studies that we contract with every year.

Ernie: That's a decision for the PC what you want to do in terms of printing and binding.

Cobey: If it goes to the publications committee of the technical committee, I would assume it would end up being published.

Ernie: Its two separate things. All the Technical Committee would do is search through for technical errors having read it its all kind of philosophical, I don't see a lot of numbers in there. So I image it would flush through there and then its a matter of do you want to keep it in draft, print it and distribute it, xeroxing it off or have it available in the file for people who want to read it. Those are decision you make. They are monetary decisions primarily.

Brewster: Isn't it in the contract to , the printing costs in he contract? Isn't that a budgeted item in..

Ted: I don't know, that was between the Department and NCCF.

Cobey: But if it is a work product of the CACs, that's what it is, and Ernie is quite correct. Even it draft form its a public document.

Ernie: I have copy here and its a public document strictly speaking.

Bo: I would assume it would be published like all the other.

Cobey: If it's freestanding in what ever way as to how many copies we can produce for whoever wants it.....that's something we don't know the answer at this point.

Ted: The only concern I have about this is if you put the Albemarle-Pamlico cover on this we have to in some way make certain that we don't confuse the public even further and have the exact same thing that we are going through with this five-page document here (public version STR) that this becomes the recommendations of the management conference for actions that we have approved all of these action plans recommendations when we have not.

Ernie: Ted can't you handle that in the title? The title would be something line "input of the CACs into the ..."

Brewster: The Status and Trends document has a cover and it has all of that and its got a little one and how its got this that's going out in draft form and, I assume that what we came up with was going to be published in some form to be used later on to develop the CCMP and that it wouldn't be misinterpreted as the final thing. Nobody misinterpreted the Status and Trends thing as the final document.

Ted: yes they did though, you know they did.

Gantt: That is true, ha ha ha

Brewster: Derb may have a different opinion but I really think that this is something that we contracted to do, it is something that many people, many citizens and lot of the people that head up different agencies, state and federal, have participated in, we got good attendance all the way through and to single this one out, we'll put our cover on the striped bass catch and study and we won't put our cover on this document would cause some concern.

Ted: It think we can do it, we have to be very careful that we don't confuse people.

Ernie: As I see the process, you guys are in the process of coming up with something that the CACs agree on. Presumably the TC has started and will also end up at that position. We could those completely independently, publish both, then go through a process of trying it pull it all together in something that would be project document and publish that or we could publish nothing until that last document.

Cobey: Lets do just the way he just said, I think I'd be satisfied.

Brewster: In the title, the title is not the CCMP, the title is going to be a "Blueprint.."

Cobey: Let's just be careful.

Ernie: What you want to do, publish both and then publish a final?

Yes

Brown: I just heard that. I think our CACs are interested in that cooperative thing but not in going down to Little Washington and all those places we have gone and meeting and doing this according to the best guidelines that we can come up with and then hearing from a TC report that it may be merged in with theirs when I think in fact we probably have taken a lead in terms of the process.

Orbach: Yes and that was by intent. That is why we decided to have in our CFC last year in the public involvement element a project to do exactly what has been done. A particular decision that needs to be made and this is what you have been getting at here is in terms of the substance of the CCMP, the processes will be folded together, very likely under the format that you have used. The question you have to decide is whether the document as developed by the coastal federation with the participation of the CACs whether that document will come out as a freestanding program publication? That is a very particular question that I as chair of the public involvement subcommittee and a member of the publications review committee would be interested in your advice on. Whether that comes out as a separate freestanding program publication or whether it folds into the program as one of many inputs to the CCMP.

Derb: The comment I want to make is a very simple comment. What is contained in those CAC recommendations were developed after several workshops, extensive input a lot of discussion and disagreements among a diversity of people in the CACs. It is important for this committee to know that if, it was developed with the assistance of the coastal federation because we need assistance to do that. We don't have the technical staff that can do that type of work. But that is a CAC document and recommendation. That is not a Coastal Federation document and recommendation. and it was adopted unanimously by our CAC at their meeting and I assume unanimously by Brewsters ACAC at their meeting and that document as it now, whether or how it is reviewed by the TC or the Publications review committee whose role in that I really question because the recommendations are not going to be changed, they are recommendations of that committee. that is the input to the program. It think it is the intent of that committee, I chair, I think the intent of that is to direct it to the policy committee particularly on the goal issue because in my opinion the goals of this program should originate here and this their suggestion as what the goals of the program should be.

#### Executive Session

resuming meeting:

Cobey: Going back to the discussion of the environmental goals for the CCMP and the report that is being produced by the CACs on recommendations and goals, Ernie/Bo, if this document is being produced by the CACs, we can get this clarified, is going to a

Brown: This is the guide that we used, Neil used to format our report. Is that the same way that you formatted your environmental goals for the CCMP?

Bo: Not exactly. We identified the major problems and concerns then addressed each one of those.

Brown: Then procedurally, might it not be better for the TC to follow that format and merge their stuff in with the document that's done by the guidebook.

Bo: We can reconsider the format, Yes.

Ted: Brewster, not all the policy committee members have even seen the CAC document, so if it had been out for review then the PC could say we want to stay with the format of overall extremely generous goals and then objectives that are milestones to get to the goals and then act ..

Gantt: Where is the CAC document? Are the CACs still working on it?

Joan: The CAC document is in the hands of Neil Armingeon the author of it and he is presently incorporating the comments that were received at the last round of CAC meetings which was earlier this month.

Brown: It will be to the publications review subcommittee on the 7th of September.

Orbach: .... process?

Gantt: Yes, why is it going there?

Orbach: That is the question we are all trying to get at. Recall where we are in the milestones chart. We are not formally to the part where we have started to put together the CCMP yet as a program. The reason Brewster that the goals and objectives you see in the document that Bo refers to is not in a particular format yet is frankly we are still in a status and trends by our milestones line until the end this year at which point we formally start on the CCMP. To get a jump on that and in particular to have the citizens have a principle input into that we contracted outside the program, not to the CACS, but to the Coastal Federation to assist the CACs in getting a head start input. Its delightful i think that the NCCF in concert with the CACs used the format that they did. It's quite likely the format that the program when the program as a whole gets to the point where we do put this together, starting about January, that is quite likely the format we will use. The argument about whether its the CACs folding into the program or the program folding into the CAC's I perceived that the philosophy that we would use is that we are all doing this together. So that particular question may not be a very useful one to pursue.